

Two FactorsUpdate forCanonical PolyadicDecomposition

 1 Center for Artificial Intelligence Technology, Skoltech, Moscow, Russia 2 Innopolis University, Innopolis, Russia

The current Canonical Polyadic (CP) tensor decomposition optimization algorithms face challenges like redundant steps and robustness issues with linearly dependent matrices, leading to slow progress.

Anastasia Sozykina 1 , Valentin Leplat 2 , Igor Vorona 1 , Salman Ahmadi-Asl 1 & Anh-Huy Phan 1

- ALS-type algorithm is high redundancy in computing the update rules. rules.
- matrix factorization to extract rank-1 tensor patterns from \mathcal{L} tensor patterns from patte multiple when several factor matrices have commeat loading compone.
Then the optimization process becomes inefficient. those of order $\frac{1}{2}$ • The condition numbers of linear systems in ALS steps are high, when several factor matrices have collinear loading components.

$\overline{\mathbf{a}}$ degeneracy \mathbf{a} **2. Contribution**

- Unfolding of the CP model along two arbitrary modes.
- Updating two factor matrices instead of just one.

The ALS is the simplest and widely used algorithm to compute the CPD, however it suffers from two main drawbacks:

An indicator function, $i_{\mathcal{D}}(.)$ is defined for the set of Khatri-Rao structured matrices, $\mathcal{D} = \{X | X = U \odot V\}$ to simplify the optimization task. By introducing an additional variable **Z**, the problem is formulated as

We develop algorithms to address these challenges. In a nutshell we propose:

-
- Using property of two mode undolding to derive new update rules able to jointly update two factor matrices at once.

For example, consider a tensor $\mathcal{Y} = [\mathbf{A}_1, \mathbf{A}_2, \mathbf{A}_3]$, it two mode undolding is $\mathcal{Y}_{(1,2)} = [\mathbb{B}, \mathbb{A}_3]$, where $\mathbf{B} = \mathbb{A}_2 \odot \mathbb{A}_1$. Then \mathbb{A}_1 and **A**² can be retrieved through the best rank-1 approximation of the reshaped form of the columns of the matrix **B** as $\mathbf{b}_r = vec(\mathbf{a}_{1r} \mathbf{a}_2^T)$ $\binom{T}{2r}.$

3. Linear Regression with Khatri-Rao structured matrix

The constrained linear regression problem is formulated as follows:

Update of X. By reshaping the vectors $z_r^{(k+1)} - t_r^{(k)}$ $r^{(\kappa)}$ to matrices \mathbf{H}_r of size $I \times J$, where $r = 1, 2, \ldots, R$. From (4) and by definition of the Khatri-Rao product each column of the matrix, $\mathbf{X}^{(k+1)}$, corresponds to vectorization of the best rank-1 approximation of the matrices $\mathbf{H}_r \approx \boldsymbol{u}_r \boldsymbol{v}_r^T$ *r* . This approximation has a unique optimal solution which can be computed in closed-form by using the truncated SVD.

$$
\min_{\mathbf{X}} f(\mathbf{X}) = \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{\Phi} \mathbf{X}^T\|_F^2 + \frac{\mu}{2} \|\mathbf{X}\|_F^2, \quad \text{s.t. } \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{V} \odot \mathbf{U}, \qquad (1)
$$

Theorem 1. For a sufficiently large $\beta = 1/\gamma$, the sequence $(\mathbf{X}^{(k)}, \mathbf{Z}^{(k)}, \mathbf{T}^{(k)})$ generated by Algorithm 1 applied to Problem (2) con*verges globally, that is regardless of where the initial point is, to the*

unique limit point $(X^{(*)}, Z^{(*)}, T^{(*)})$, which is a stationary point of the *augmented Lagrangian function,* \mathcal{L}_{γ} *, and* $\mathbf{X}^{(*)}$ *is a stationary point of Problem (2).*

$$
\min f(\mathbf{Z}) + i_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{X}), \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{Z}.
$$
 (2)

We solve the above optimization by Alternating Direction Method of Multipliers framework. The augmented Lagrangian function associated with the problem is given as

where $\Psi_n = \mathbf{A}_N \odot \cdots \odot \mathbf{A}_{n+1} \odot \mathbf{A}_{n-1} \odot \cdots \odot \mathbf{A}_1$. The ALS update for \mathbf{A}_n is given by $\mathbf{A}_n = \mathbf{Y}_{(n)} \mathbf{\Psi}_n (\mathbf{\Psi}_n^T \mathbf{\Psi}_n)^{-1}$. Several key insights can be made:

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\gamma}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{T}) = f(\mathbf{Z}) + i_D(\mathbf{X}) + \frac{1}{2\gamma} \left(\|\mathbf{Z} - \mathbf{X} - \mathbf{T}\|_F^2 - \|\mathbf{T}\|_F^2 \right).
$$

The iterative updates for the primal variables **Z** and **X**, and the dual variable, **T**, are given by

Motivated by these observations, we propose a new algorithm to efficiently tackle redundancy by updating two factor matrices simultaneously. By unfolding the tensor along two arbitrary modes *n* and $m, (n < m)$, we redefine the optimization problem:

$$
\mathbf{Z}^{(k+1)} = \underset{\mathbf{Z}}{\arg \min} f(\mathbf{Z}) + \frac{1}{2\gamma} \|\mathbf{Z} - \mathbf{X}^{(k)} - \mathbf{T}^{(k)}\|_{F}^{2},
$$
(3)

$$
\mathbf{X}^{(k+1)} = \Pi_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{Z}^{(k+1)} - \mathbf{T}^{(k)}),
$$
(4)

$$
\mathbf{T}^{(k+1)} = \mathbf{T}^{(k)} + \mathbf{X}^{(k+1)} - \mathbf{Z}^{(k+1)}.
$$
(5)

min $\mathbf{A}_m, \mathbf{A}_n$ $\|\mathbf{Y}^T_{(n,m)} - \mathbf{\Psi}_{n,m}(\mathbf{A}_m \odot \mathbf{A}_n)^T\|^2_F$

Update of Z. Solving the optimization problem in (3) amounts to minimize a quadratic function without constraints, the solution can be computed in closed-form expression as follows

$$
\mathbf{Z}^{(k+1)} = \arg\min_{\mathbf{Z}} \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{\Phi} \mathbf{Z}^T\|_F^2 + \frac{\mu}{2} \|\mathbf{Z}\|_F^2 + \frac{1}{2\gamma} \|\mathbf{Z} - \mathbf{X}^{(k)} - \mathbf{T}^{(k)}\|_F^2
$$

$$
= (\mathbf{Y}^T \mathbf{\Phi} + \frac{1}{\gamma} (\mathbf{X}^{(k)} + \mathbf{T}^{(k)})) (\mathbf{\Phi}^T \mathbf{\Phi} + (\mu + \frac{1}{\gamma})\mathbf{I})^{-1}.
$$

The computation of the CPD of a tensor \mathcal{Y} of order-*N* is achieved by where $\Psi_{n,m} = \mathbf{A}_N \odot \cdots \odot \mathbf{A}_{m+1} \odot \mathbf{A}_{m-1} \odot \cdots \odot \mathbf{A}_{n+1} \odot \mathbf{A}_{n-1} \odot \cdots \odot \mathbf{A}_1$ is the Khatri-Rao product of all but two matrices A_n and A_m . The minimization problem in (6) simplifies into a regression problem with a Khatri-Rao structured matrix as in (1) . Our algorithm updates two consecutive factor matrices, e.g., **A**¹ and **A**² using Algorithm 1, then continues with pairs like A_j and A_{j+1} until all are updated (Algorithm 2). After updating A_1 and A_2 , the algorithm shifts the tensor dimensions by 2 and updates the next pair. After updating all pairs of factor matrices, the algorithm randomly permutes the factor matrices.

We considered order-3 and 4 tensors of size $I \times \cdots \times I$ with $I = \{10, 50\}$, and rank $R = \{10, 20\}$, whose all factors \mathbf{A}_n have highly collinear, 97%-99%, loading components.

Algorithm 1 Linear Regression with Khatri-Rao structured Regressor
\nInput: Data matrix Y:
$$
K \times (IJ)
$$
, Φ of size $K \times R$, an initialization for
\n (\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Z}) , a maximum number of iterations k_{max} , and a threshold
\n ϵ
\nOutput: X is Khatri-Rao product such that it minimizes $\|\mathbf{Y} - \Phi \mathbf{X}^T\|_F^2$
\nbegin
\n $\begin{aligned}\n\text{Institute } \mathbf{T} &= \mathbf{X} = 0 \\
\text{Precompute } \mathbf{W} &= \mathbf{Y}^T \Phi, \mathbf{Q} = \Phi^T \Phi, \tilde{\mathbf{Q}} = (\mathbf{Q} + (\mu + \frac{1}{\gamma})\mathbf{I})^{-1} \\
k \leftarrow 1 \\
\text{while } k \leq k_{max} \text{ and } \frac{\|\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{Z}\|_F}{\|\mathbf{Z}\|_F} > \epsilon \text{ do} \\
\mathbf{Z} \leftarrow (\mathbf{W} + \frac{1}{\gamma}(\mathbf{X} + \mathbf{T}))\mathbf{Q} && // Update Z \\
\text{for } r = 1, \ldots, R \text{ do} && // Update each column of X \\
\mathbf{H}_r \leftarrow \text{reshape}(z_r - t_r, [\mathbf{I} \times \mathbf{J}]) \\
\mathbf{X}_r \leftarrow \text{vec}(u_r s_r v_r^T) \text{ where } [u_r, s_r, v_r] = \text{svds}(\mathbf{H}_r, 1) \\
\mathbf{T} \leftarrow \mathbf{T} + \mathbf{X} - \mathbf{Z} && // Dual ascent step updates T \\
\hline\n\end{aligned}$

 10^{-6} 10⁻⁴ 10⁻² 10⁰ Relative Error Ω 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1 $F(x)$ **Empirical CDF** Proposed NLS ALS

We decomposed tensors associated with multiplication of two matrices of size $(2 \times 3) \times (3 \times 2)$ and $(3 \times 3) \times (3 \times 3)$. The first tensor is of size $6 \times 6 \times 4$ and rank-11, and the second tensor of size $9 \times 9 \times 9$ and rank-23, both contain only zeros and ones, and obey Finding CPD of these tensors with minimal rank is related to seeking the fastest multiplication of two matrices. The proposed algorithm can explain the tensor with a relative error below 10^{-6} in around 1000 iterations.

4. Proposed algorithm for computing the CPD

minimizing the Frobenius norm of the error tensor

$$
\min_{\{\mathbf{A}_n\}} \|\mathbf{Y}-\mathbf{I}\mathbf{A}_1,\mathbf{A}_2,\ldots,\mathbf{A}_N\mathbf{I}\|_F^2.
$$

Classical algorithms like ALS update each factor sequentially. The ALS update for each factor involves tensor mode unfolding and optimization

$$
\min_{\mathbf{A}_n} \|\mathbf{Y}_{(n)} - \mathbf{A}_n \mathbf{\Psi}_n^T\|_F^2,
$$

- **High redundancy.** The above update is simple, but each update requires to compute the product $\mathbf{Y}_{(n)}\mathbf{\Psi}_n$, which is the most expensive step in the ALS algorithm.
- **Degeneracy** and **inaccurate update.** Since $\Psi_n^T \Psi_n =$ $(\mathbf{A}_N^T \mathbf{A}_N) \circledast \cdots \circledast (\mathbf{A}_{n+1}^T \mathbf{A}_{n+1}) \circledast (\mathbf{A}_{n-1}^T \mathbf{A}_{n-1}) \circledast \cdots \circledast (\mathbf{A}_1^T \mathbf{A}_1)$ (\circledast is Hadamart product), when the factor matrices consist of highly collinear loading components, the correlation matrix $\Psi_n^T \Psi_n$ becomes poorly conditioned, and the computation of its inverse is likely to be inaccurate.

^F , (6)

Example 1. High collinear factor matrices

The proposed algorithm requires less number of update cycles than ALS, in order to obtain accurate solutions.

Figure 3: Comparison of relative errors vs number of iterations of algorithms.

Example 2. Ranks exceed dimensions

Third-order random tensors of size $10 \times 10 \times 10$ and rank $R = 25$ are randomly generated. Parameters are initialized by random numbers. For this scenario, the proposed algorithm attains a (nearly) perfect success ratio at the relative approximation error of 10^{-6} , while ALS succeeds in less than 30% of its runs. Both ALS and NLS get stuck in false local minima with relative errors greater than 10^{-2} .

Figure 4: The probability distribution of achieving a specific relative error.

Example 3. Multiplication Tensor

Figure 5: Convergence of algorithms.

Example 4. Compress Conv layers

The proposed algorithm in most of cases achieves better accuracy compared to the ALS. In addition, the proposed algorithm is more resistant to perturbations. These extensive simulations convinced us that the proposed algorithm can be efficiently utilized for compressing convolutional layer of deep neural networks.

Figure 6: Norms of rank-1 tensors in the approx. of the conv layers weights with ranks 30 and 15.

6. Conclusions

Novel algorithm for CPD updates two factor matrices simultaneously to address the problem of ALS-type algorithms and instability issues. The extensive simulation results consistently demonstrated the superiority of our algorithm over both the ALS and NLS algorithms across various scenarios.

5. Acknowledgements

This work was partially supported by the joint project Artificial Intelligence for Life (AIfoL) between the University of Sharjah and the Skolkovo Institute of Science and Technology.