NAG-GS: Semi-Implicit, Accelerated and Robust Stochastic Optimizer

Valentin Leplat¹, Daniil Merkulov^{2,3}, Aleksandr Katrutsa^{2,4}, Daniel Bershatsky², Olga Tsymboi^{2,5}, and Ivan Oseledets^{4,2}

Introduction and Motivation

We consider the unconstrained minimization problem of a smooth convex function:

 $\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} f(x)$

Main Contributions:

- We present a stochastic extension of the algorithm based on Gauss-Seidel discretization of the ODE related to the accelerated gradient method.
- We provide an asymptotic convergence analysis for strongly convex quadratic objectives and identify the maximum feasible learning rate.
- We demonstrate experimentally that NAG-GS converges faster in the initial epochs and achieves similar or better final test accuracy on logistic regression, VGG-11, ResNet-20, and Transformer models.

Proposed Method

Accelerated Stochastic Gradient Flow:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dx}{dt} &= v - x, \\ \frac{dv}{dt} &= \frac{\mu}{\gamma}(x - v) - \frac{1}{\gamma}\nabla f(x) + \sigma \frac{dW}{dt}, \end{aligned} \qquad \begin{aligned} \dot{\gamma}(t) &= \mu - \gamma(t) \\ \gamma(0) &= \gamma_0 > 0 \end{aligned}$$

where μ is the strong convexity parameter, and W is a standard *n*-dimensional Brownian motion.

Gauss-Seidel Discretization:

$$x_{k+1} = (1 - a_k)x_k + a_k v_k,$$

$$v_{k+1} = (1 - b_k)v_k + b_k x_{k+1} - \mu^{-1} b_k \nabla \tilde{f}(x_{k+1}),$$

where a_k and b_k are step size parameters, and $\nabla f(x_{k+1})$ is the possibly noisy gradient.

Theorem: For $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^{T}Ax$, with A symmetric positive definite, and assuming $0 < \mu = \lambda_1 \leq \ldots \leq \lambda_n = L < \infty$, and given $\gamma \geq \mu$, if $0 < \alpha \leq \frac{\mu + \gamma + \sqrt{(\mu - \gamma)^2 + 4\gamma L}}{L - \mu}$, then the NAG-GS method converges.

Algorithm:





end





Figure 1: Dependence of the number of iterations needed for convergence on the learning rate. NAG-GS is more robust with respect to the learning rate than gradient descent (GD) and accelerated gradient descent (AGD). The number of iterations 10^{10} indicates the divergence.



Figure 2: NAG-GS outperforms SGD-MW uniformly in the first 150 epochs and provides the same accuracy further.

¹ Innopolis University, ² Skoltech, ³ Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology, ⁴ Artificial Intelligence Research Institute, ⁵ Sber AI Lab, Moscow, Russia.

Accelerated with Nesterov Gradient Gauss-Seidel splitting (NAG-GS) **Input:** Initial point x_0 , parameters $\mu \ge 0$, $\gamma_0 > 0$ Set $v_0 := x_0$ for k = 1, 2, ... do Choose step size $\alpha_k > 0$ Set $a_k := \alpha_k (\alpha_k + 1)^{-1}$ Update $\gamma_{k+1} := (1 - a_k)\gamma_k + a_k\mu$ Update $x_{k+1} := (1 - a_k)x_k + a_k v_k$ Set $b_k := \alpha_k \mu (\alpha_k \mu + \gamma_{k+1})^{-1}$ Update $v_{k+1} := (1 - b_k)v_k + b_k x_{k+1} - \mu^{-1}b_k \nabla \tilde{f}(x_{k+1})$

Experiments

ResNet-20 on CIFAR-10 ----- SGD-MW ----- NAG-GS 0.8**0.7 Č** 0.6 SGD-MW 0.5NAG-GS 150200250300 150250100 200Epoch Epoch



Figure 3: Comparison of the convergence of NAG-GS and SGD-MW with the best learning rates. NAG-GS gives a higher test accuracy faster than SGD-MW (see 1–10 epochs) while converging to a similar test accuracy in the middle of training.

Af Afte

Table 1: Test accuracies of NAG-GS and AdamW for Vision Transformer model fine-tuned on food101 dataset. The NAG-GS outperforms AdamW after the presented number of epochs.

Optimizer	CoLA	A MI	NLI M	IRPC	QNLI	QQP
AdamW	61.60) 87	′ .56	88.24	92.62	91.69
NAG-GS	61.60) 8 [°]	7.24	90.69	92.59	91.01
Optim	izer R	TE	SST2	STS-]	B WN	LI
Adam	W 78	3.34	94.95	90.6	8 56.	34
NAG-0	GS 7	7.97	94.50	90.2	1 56.	34

Table 2: Note that NAG-GS has lower computational complexity and memory requirements than AdamW.



Vision Transformer on food101

Stage	NAG-GS	AdamW	
ter 1 epoch	0.8419	0.8269	
er 25 epochs	0.8606	0.8324	

RoBERTa on GLUE benchmark

